1 2	JONATHAN D. BLUM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 09515 WILEY PETERSEN		
3	1050 Indigo Dr., Suite 200B Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone No.: (702) 910-3329		
4			
5	Facsimile No.: (702) 553-3467 jblum@wileypetersenlaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Edward Patrick Flaherty		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9 10	EDWARD PATRICK FLAHERTY, an individual,	CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-00025-MMD-CLB	
11	Plaintiffs,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
12	vs.		
13 14	WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION dba WELLS FARGO BANK NA; and DOES 1-50, ROES 51-100.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, EDWARD PATRICK FLAHER	TY ("Flaherty" or "Plaintiff") by and through his	
18	counsel of record, the law firm Wiley Petersen, hereby complains and alleges as follows:		
19	I.		
20	THE PA	RTIES	
21	A. The Plaintiff		
22	1. Plaintiff EDWARD PATRICK I	FLAHERTY ("Flaherty" or "Plaintiff") is ar	
23	individual and resident of the State of Geneva, Switzerland.		
24	B. Defendants		
25	2. Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, Nati	onal Association ("Wells Fargo") is a Delaware	
26	entity, licensed to, and conducting business in Nevada.		
27	3. Defendants DOES 1 through 50 an	d ROES 51-100, inclusive, whether individual	
28	corporate, associate or otherwise, are sued by these fictitious names as they are unknown to Plaintif		

Case 3:22-cv-00025-MMD-CLB Document 27 Filed 09/13/22 Page 1 of 9

Switzerland.

- 12. Flaherty had co-counseled a previous tort case with Andrew Levetown ("Levetown"), a former US attorney, currently practicing law in the State of Maryland.
- 13. In May/June, 2021, Flaherty and Levetown agreed to co-counsel a new mass tort case, and Flaherty agreed to deposit with Levetown in his client trust account the sum of \$30,000 to cover the initial costs of such litigation.
- 14. Unbeknownst to Flaherty or Levetown at the time, Doe 1, along with other unknown accomplisses and/ or co-conspirators (Does 2-20), had illegally hacked and commandeered Levetown's professional email account, and began communicating with Flaherty by email, impersonating Levetown, which impersonation was unknown to Flaherty.
- 15. On or about June 17, 2021, Does 1-20 impersonating Levetown sent Flaherty an email with what purported to be the bank account and wiring details for the client trust account of Levetown's firm, Ivey and Levetown, at Wells Fargo's Fernley, Nevada branch.
- 16. On or about June 22, 2021, Flaherty had his bank UBS in Switzerland wire \$30,000 to the Wells Fargo account purportedly related to its Fernely, Nevada branch, though later indications were that Wells Fargo's Battle Mountain, Nevada is related thereto, fraudulently represented to him by Does 1-20 in emails as the client trust account of Levetown's law firm.
- 17. Several days later, on or about June 25, 2021, Levetown sent Flaherty a message by Whatsapp asking where were the wired funds. Flaherty replied that he had received an email from Levetown indicating that the funds had been received, and sent Levetown the wiring receipt.
- 18. Levetown again responded by Whatsapp that the Wells Fargo account was not his client trust account, that he had no relationship with Well Fargo, and that he had just discovered that his professional email account had been hacked and commandeered, and that the hackers had tried to entice another professional associates to wire funds to the same Wells Fargo account.
- 19. Flaherty immediately on June 25, 2021 tried to contact Wells Fargo by email, through their website and by telephone to report the apparent fraud. When he finally spoke to a Wells Fargo representative by telephone on June 25, 2021, the representative told Flaherty that as he was not a customer of Wells Fargo, the representative could not do anything to assist him, and

advised Flaherty to contact his own bank that had sent the wire (UBS), and to request them to request the return of the transfer from Wells Fargo.

- 20. On June 25, 2021, Flaherty contacted UBS to report the fraud and to request that they contact Wells Fargo to return the \$30,000 sent to the imposter account. UBS contacted Wells Fargo twice, once in the last week of June and once in July, but Wells Fargo only replied on or about August 20, 2021, stating that the account to which the funds had been deposited was empty and that there was nothing further Wells Fargo could do.
- 21. On or about August 19, 2021, Flaherty wrote directly to Wells Fargo at its corporate headquarters in San Francisco, California, again demanding the return of the \$30,000, which request Wells Fargo once again rejected.
- Flaherty also requested that he be given the name of the account holder(s) to which the \$30,000 has been deposited, as well as any other identifying or contact information that Wells Fargo had collected from the account holder in opening the imposter account as required by US Department of Treasury Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (31 CFR Parts 1010, 1020 1023, 1024, and 1026 (https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2016-05-11/pdf/2016-10567.pdf).
- 23. Flaherty also requested that Wells Fargo report the fraud to local law enforcement authorities.
- 24. As of the present date, Wells Fargo has failed or refused to provide the requested information about the account holder(s) to Flaherty, nor has it reported the fraud to local law enforcement, all of which has greatly hindered Flaherty's efforts to recover the defrauded funds in the amount of \$30,000 from DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100.
- 25. Wells Fargo allowed DOE 1 to open a bank account with Wells Fargo in its Battle Mountain, Nevada branch with insufficient and/or fraudulent identification.
- 26. Through the use of such imposter bank account at Wells Fargo, DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100, through fraud, mail and/or wire fraud, and false representations, after having hacked and commandeered the professional email account of Levetown, wrongly and fraudently induced Plaintiff through imposter emails in the name of Levetown to wire \$30,000 to the imposter account set up by DOE 1, as alleged herein.

IV.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Conversion

Against DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100

- 27. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 28. DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100 committed a wrongful distinct and intentional act of dominion over the property of Plaintiff.
- 29. The act(s) committed were in denial of, or inconsistent with, the rightful owner's (Plaintiff's) use and enjoyment of the property.
- 30. The act(s) committed were in derogation, exclusion or defiance of the owner's (Plaintiff's) rights or title in the property.
 - 31. The actions of DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100 caused damages to Plaintiff.
- 32. Based on Defendants' wrongful acts and inactions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount exceeding \$15,000.
- 33. Defendants have been guilty of oppression, fraud or malice and as such, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary and/or punitive damages pursuant to NRS 42.005.
- 34. Based on Defendants wrongful acts and inactions, Plaintiff has been forced to retain the services of counsel to prosecute this matter and, therefore, is entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Unjust Enrichment DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
 - 36. A benefit has been conferred on the Defendant(s).
 - 37. Defendants appreciated the benefit.
- 38. Defendant(s) accepted and retained the benefit under circumstances where it would be inequitable for Defendant(s) to retain the benefit without the payment of value for the same.

- 39. The Defendants through their wrongful acts and inactions have been unjustly enriched, damaging the Plaintiff in an amount exceeding \$15,000.
- 40. Defendants have been guilty of oppression, fraud or malice and as such, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary and/or punitive damages pursuant to NRS 42.005.
- 41. Based on Defendants wrongful acts and inactions, Plaintiff has been forced to retain the services of counsel to prosecute this matter and, therefore, is entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of UCC Article 4A (NRS Chapter 104A) Against Defendant Wells Fargo

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 43. Defendant Wells Fargo, in paying DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100 the fraudulent wire transfer fund proceeds, knew that the wire transfer order identified different persons by name and number, and that DOES 1 through 50 and ROES 51-100 were therefore not entitled to receive the wire transfer proceeds from the Plaintiff or his bank under UCC Article 4A-207(b)(2) (NRS 104A.4207(2)(b)).
- 44. Based on Defendant Wells Fargo's wrongful acts and inactions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount exceeding \$15,000.
- 45. Based on Defendants wrongful acts and inactions, Plaintiff has been forced to retain the services of counsel to prosecute this matter and, therefore, is entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Fraud Against Defendant DOE 1

- 46. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
- 47. Defendant DOE 1 illegally hacked and commandeered the professional email account of Levetown.
 - 48. Defendant DOE 1 sent Plaintiff a series of fraudulent emails, impersonating

Case 3:22-c\	v-00025-MMD-CLB Document 27 Filed 09/13/22 Page 7 of 9
Levetown, as	nd induced Flaherty to wire \$30,000 to the imposter bank account opened, owned
	olled by DOE 1.
49.	Based on Defendant DOE 1's wrongful acts and inactions, Plaintiff has been
damaged in a	an amount exceeding \$15,000.
50.	Defendants have been guilty of oppression, fraud or malice and as such, Plaintiff is
entitled to ex	emplary and/or punitive damages pursuant to NRS 42.005.
51.	Based on Defendants wrongful acts and inactions, Plaintiff has been forced to retain
the services of	of counsel to prosecute this matter and, therefore, is entitled to recover its reasonable
attorneys' fee	es and costs.
	V.
	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
52.	Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.
	VI.
	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
WHE	EREFORE, Plaintiff expressly reserving the right to amend this Complaint prior to or
at the time of	f trial in this action to insert those items of damage not yet fully ascertainable, prays
for judgment	against Defendants as follows:
1.	For general damages and special damages sustained in an amount exceeding
	\$15,000;
2.	For exemplary and punitive damages pursuant to NRS 42.005;
3.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred;

///

case 3:22-cv-00025-MMD-CLB Document 27 Filed 09/13/22 Page 8 of 9

1	4. For pre and post judgment interest at the statutory rate; and
2	5. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.
3	DATED this 13 th day of September, 2022
4	
5 6	WILEY PETERSEN
7	By:
8	JONATHAN D. BLUM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9515 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200B
9	1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200B Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone No.: (702) 910-3329 Facsimile No.: (702) 553-3467 jblum@wileypetersenlaw.com
10	jblum@wileypetersenlaw.com
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Edward Patrick Flaherty
12	Patrick Flanerty
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of Wiley Petersen, and that on the 13th day of 3 September, 2022, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing FIRST 4 AMENDED COMPLAINT in the following manner: 5 (ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursuant to Rule 5-4 of the Local Rules of Civil Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, the above-referenced document was 6 electronically filed on the date hereof and served through the Notice of Electronic Filing 7 automatically generated by that Court's facilities. 8 9 (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced document for 10 mailing in the Unites States Mail, first-class postage prepaid, at Las Vegas, Nevada, to the parties listed below at their last-known mailing addresses, on the date above written. 11 12 (ELECTRONIC E-MAIL) 13 Kelly H. Dove, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10569 14 Holly E. Cheong, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11936 15 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 16 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 17 Telephone: (702) 784-5200 Facsimile: (702) 784-5252 18 kdove@swlaw.com 19 hcheong@swlaw.com Attorneys for Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. 20 21 22 An Employee of WILEY PETERSEN 23 24 25 26 27 28